The Indonesian Journal of Computer Science
www.ijcs.net
Volume 14, Issue 1, February 2025
https://doi.org/10.33022/ijcs.v14i1.4632

Comprehensive Review of Advanced Machine Learning Strategies for Resource Allocation in Fog Computing Systems

Media Ibrahim[1], Sara Abdulwahab[2], Shavan Askar[3], Diana Hussein[4]
media.ibrahim@epu.edu.iq[1], sara.abdulwahab@eia.krd[2], shavan.askar@epu.edu.iq[3], diana.hussein@epu.edu.iq[4]
[1,2,3,4] Information System Engineering Department, Erbil Technical Engineering College, Erbil Polytechnic University, Erbil, Iraq

Article Information

Received : 9 Jan 2025
Revised : 22 Feb 2025
Accepted : 23 Feb 2025

Keywords

Machine learning, Fog, Resource Allocation

Abstract

This paper targets the development of advanced machine learning strategies for fog computing systems and is designed to further enhance current mechanisms related to resource allocation. Fog computing represents the extension of cloud facilities to network edges with increased data processing, allowing minimal latency for applications that need real-time processing. This is a review underlining deep learning as one of the basic tools through which neural networks predict the resource usage and optimization of resource allocation with its dynamic adaptation to modifications within the network conditions. The paper reviews techniques such as Convolutional Neural Networks, Recurrent Neural Networks, and Generative Adversarial Networks that are explored for their roles in enhancing efficiency, privacy, and responsiveness within the realm of distributed environments. These findings reveal that deep learning significantly enhances operational performance, reduces latency, and strengthens security in fog networks. By processing data locally and autonomously managing resources, these strategies ensure efficient handling of diverse and dynamic demands. It concludes that the integration of machine learning into fog computing forms a scalable and robust framework toward meeting modern challenges imposed by digital ecosystems, enabling smarter real-time decision-making systems at the edge.

A. Introduction

Fog computing is a term found to describe cloud computing extended to the edge of an enterprise's network. In today's times, with the proliferation of Internet of Things devices, it has a great role to play in modern IT architecture. Unlike traditional cloud systems that centralize processing and storage in large data centers, fog computing distributes these functions closer to the sources of data. This proximity to data sources greatly optimizes the performance of computation by reducing latency, thus improving the response time for edge devices. Chief characteristics of fog computing are: its decentralized nature, its capability of processing data in real time, and its potential to operate dependably and autonomously across a wide geographic region. This, in essence, makes fog computing unavoidable in such scenarios where immediacy of data processing is necessary, as in public safety, health monitoring, and transportation systems, among other applications that depend on real-time decision-making. In addition, fog computing decreases bandwidth utilization, reduces congestion, and strengthens privacy and security by processing data within a more confined perimeter. While the networks continue to grow in complexity and scale, the importance of fog computing is underlined by the fact that it can seamlessly integrate and unify the management across cloud and edge resources, hence offering a robust framework to support the expanding needs of modern digital networks [1][2][3].

Dynamic resource management in the fog network deals with allocating and optimizing basically all resources such as computing power, storage resources, and methods of network bandwidth in real time when handling a diverse array of device and endpoint populations. Therefore, this task entails much more challenge due not only to variable amount of network demand but also to the variable heterogeneity of an environment to which fog computing pertains. Quite often, devices show up with different capabilities and options of resources [4][5]. This is crucial for dynamic resource management to maintain high system performance and efficiency. The availability of resources would then be according to demands that are necessary in a place and time when they will be truly needed. Moreover, dynamic resource management should also bear a critical role in cost minimization with regard to operation, reduction of latency, and enhancing the reliability of network services, given applications needing immediate computation responses, which require millions of IoT devices running on parallel instances [6][7].

Deep learning, a subset of machine learning characterized by layers of neural networks, has immense capabilities in extracting patterns and making intelligent decisions from big and complex datasets [8]. Deep learning for resource management tasks has significant advantages in fog computing [9]. Deep learning algorithms predict resource usage patterns, optimize resource allocation, and adapt to changes in the environment on their own without human intervention. This adaptability is particularly useful in fog computing environments where data flows and network conditions may change rapidly. Deep learning can be leveraged to realize higher operational efficiency, enhanced service delivery, and stronger security measures by fog networks, thus realizing truly smart, responsive, and highly efficient fog computing ecosystems [10].

B. Background and Related Work

1. Evolution of Fog Computing

It can be said that fog computing, to a great degree, emerged as a strategic response toward the limitations that traditional Cloud Computing had to face in the most diverse situations-especially in the case of instances like edge devices and in the context of the IoT. The term "fog computing" was introduced around 2012 by Cisco to address the needs emerging from processing data closer to sources of data generation-as opposed to doing so from centralized data centers. It became accelerated by the growth of IoT devices that mushroomed into the world, churning out a great volume of information which had to be processed in real time. When the number of such devices kept growing exponentially, it simply became impossible due to latency, bandwidth costs, and privacy concerns to transfer all data back to a cloud. In recent years, the development of fog computing has been aimed at increasing the performance of edge computing, real-time analytics, and standardization of protocols used for device and service management at the network edge [12][13][14].

Compared to the traditional models of cloud computing, fog computing provides several significant, unique advantages. Whereas the conventional cloud computing sends all data to remote servers to be processed, fog computing processes the data right within the device or on nearby dedicated hardware, significantly decreasing latency [15]. This is crucial for applications that demand response in real time, including autonomous driving systems and industrial automation. Second, fog computing offers better management of bandwidth and a decline in the congestion of the internet by reducing the distance it requires that data has to travel through. Additionally, it results in better privacy and better security of data by confining sensitive information within the bounded network; therefore, catering towards industries require strict regulatory compliances regarding data sovereignty and data privacy specifically. As such, while cloud computing remains pivotal for extensive data processing and storage, fog computing serves as a complementary model that extends the cloud's capabilities to the edge of the network, offering a more scalable and efficient framework for handling the real-time, distributed nature of modern digital applications [16].

2. Past Approaches to Resource Management

Traditional resource management methods in computer networks usually relied on static allocation schemes whereby resources, including bandwidth, storage, and computing, are allocated based on either peak demand forecasts or average utilization statistics. These techniques were widely adopted by both traditional data centers and cloud computing platforms, which have depended much on manual configuration and centralized management systems [17].

Examples are resource partitioning techniques, such as static ones, and scheduled maintenance; all purposed to make the most out of efficiency and utilization under predictable loads. These traditional methods were targeted for environments characterized by rather stable and predictable demands-a fact that is not so very effective when dealing with dynamic and mostly unpredictable natures of today's distributed computing environments. In fact, the shortages of these traditional resource management techniques become more evident when considering very dynamic environments-like fog computing-where the amount of edge devices increase and decrease dramatically, and where the flow of data also may vary in real-time. Most static resource allocation strategies lead to underutilization of resources during off-peak periods and degrade the service for unexpected increases in demand. Besides, the centralized and monolithic aspect of traditional resource management does not allow scalability concerning the thousands of edge devices which are, within intrinsic nature in fog networks-geographically spread. This obviously causes latencies and dampens responsiveness in practice, in turn basically defeating the effectiveness of a potential advantage associated with employing edge computing for processing closer to where latency-sensitive activity is generated or otherwise demanded. There is certainly a pressing need for more adaptively automated and decentralized resource management capable of dynamically responding to increasing changeable conditions and unpredictable demands for the optimization of every single computing, storage, and networking capability throughout the fog network always done efficiently [18][19][20].

3. Deep Learning in Networking

Deep learning emerging to become a transformational influence in networking, with a whole new set of methods in optimizing network operations and effectively improving performance on varied fronts. Deep learning was turning out to be particularly suitable for application in networking applications needing the analysis of immense volumes, with continuous data flows and changes in user demands [21].

These applications range from network security, where deep learning models detect and respond to anomalies and cyber threats, to traffic management systems that dynamically adjust to optimize flow and reduce congestion. Besides, predictive maintenance has been one of the important contributions of deep learning in allowing network systems to anticipate failures and malfunctions by analyzing trends and usage patterns. Several seminal papers have laid the bedrock for integrating deep learning into networking. One of the most important works demonstrated the use of CNNs in feature extraction in intrusion detection systems, increasing the detection rate of subtle network threats by a big margin. Another important contribution came through the application of Reinforcement Learning in managing network resources dynamically. This would involve dynamically allocating bandwidth and computing resources, at runtime, to match dynamic changes in demand without human intervention. Also, research involving RNNs, especially LSTM networks, has been quite essential in time-series prediction tasks for traffic flow and demand forecast over networks [22].

These studies together indicate that deep learning has the potential to revolutionize networking with greater automation, precision, and efficiency, especially in handling complex and dynamic network environments, as is characteristic of fog computing.

C. Deep Learning Techniques Applied to Fog Networks

1. Neural Networks and Their Variants

In the context of fog networks, various neural network architectures have been at the heart of tackling different unique challenges thrown their way by these decentralized data-rich environments. These neural networks leverage their unique capabilities to efficiently process and analyze data at the edge of the network for enabling real-time decision-making and dynamic resource management. CNNs are mainly used in fog networks for performing image and video analytics due to their excellent proficiency in processing pixel data. Typically, CNNs consist of convolutional layers for filtering the inputs to useful information, pooling layers for reduction of dimensionality, and fully connected layers for making the final predictions based on features detected. That is why they are perfectly suited for applications like video surveillance analysis, where speed and efficiency at the edge are critical for getting fast responses [23][24].

RNNs, especially those with LSTM cells, can operate on sequential data comfortably; this is pretty normal for speech and audio processing and, in general, every application where data input may be time-dependent. This allows fog computing to make network load predictions for the optimization of traffic management by analyzing sequences of data that indicate usage patterns over time and hence efficient resource allocation in dynamic conditions [25]. The typical representative use cases of GAN include generating synthetic data or enhancement of data privacy in fog networks. In fog computing, GANs can synthesize realistic network traffic for training purposes without the leak of sensitive information. That will be very useful for constructing robust network models, trained with comprehensive datasets without compromising any chance of data exposure. Autoencoders are a subset of neural networks applied for unsupervised learning and also work effectively in data compression and feature extraction; hence, they are useful in bandwidth-limited environments typical of the fog network. By eliminating data redundancy before its onward transmission to the cloud or nodes, autoencoders aim to minimize network load and optimize data storage. These variants of neural network architecture form the backbone of creating powerful fog networks by enabling better processing, intelligence, and management automate data handling at a large chunk of dispersed devices. Upon deployment within fog computing models, such improvements contribute to not-only faster responsiveness and trustworthiness but also permit the IoT and other based technologies with an edge element [26][27].

2. Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning or RL is one segment of machine learning that has grown in prominence due to its nature of learning optimal actions by trial-and-error interaction with a dynamic environment. Such an attribute makes RL be particularly suitable for adaptive and predictive resource allocation in fog networks, where the conditions and demands can frequently change [28]. In fog computing, RL algorithms independently make the best decisions regarding resource allocation, without being explicitly programmed concerning every possible case. These algorithms operate based on receiving a reward concerning the results of their actions and, over time, learning to maximize such rewards.

Thus, these reinforcement learning models can adapt to new conditions and further optimize resource distribution continuously [29].

The major applications of RL in fog networks include power and resource allocation adaptively. For this, the various resources like CPU time, memory, and bandwidth that the RL agent has are dynamically allocated among competing devices and applications. It will do so with an aim to optimize overall network performance with respect to current demand, resource availability, and operational cost. In that way, the system would be able to maintain a high level of efficiency and service quality even under changing conditions in the network. Other interesting applications include predictive resource allocation. Here, RL is used to predict future demands based on historical data and trends. For example, an RL model can predict increased demand for resources at certain times of the day or upon detection of particular events or patterns within the network. The system would then pre-emptively allocate resources to handle the increase in demand, avoiding bottlenecks and ensuring smooth operation [30][31].

The predictive nature of RL can be combined with other deep learning methods, making it even more adaptive. For example, combining RL with neural networks will make the model handle greater complexity in data and come out with refined decisions regarding resource allocations in real time (deep reinforcement learning). Indeed, that will be very helpful in fog environments where applications (like autonomous vehicles, real-time data analytics, or IoT systems) demand a high degree of responsiveness and reliability. Overall, reinforcement learning is one of the most promising means of resource management in dynamic, fully distributed environments. In this regard, there exists a possibility to enhance fog computing architectures to support advanced applications in real-time right at the very edge of the network [32].

3. Supervised and Unsupervised Learning Techniques

It is further anticipated that, in the domain of fog computing, both supervised and unsupervised learning methods will be highly instrumental for optimally managing network traffic flow and resource distribution, considering that each of these machine learning techniques has its strength in overcoming different challenges associated with managing complex and dynamic network environments. In this regard, supervised learning comes into play when past historical data of events are available with known results that the models can predict on to forecast the future basing their judgment on lessons drawn from that prior knowledge. Looking at fog networks, predictive analytics would, therefore, utilize wide use of supervised learning algorithms in such aspects as traffic load forecasting or failure-time forecast of devices. Such protocols use algorithms that, by their training on historical data with the outcome of network conditions, can predict future states of these networks with a substantial degree of accuracy. Accordingly, proactive resource management could grant or reallocate resources for when they are needed in anticipation, thereby keeping a balance across the network and thus not lagging in resources. For example, the supervised learning model can observe historical data on the times of the day or events when video streaming demand spikes and make predictions about similar spikes in the future. These predictions then can be used by network administrators by dynamically adjusting bandwidth allocation according to expected increases in demand to ensure that service interruptions are avoided [33][34].

On the other side, unsupervised learning is useful in finding hidden patterns or intrinsic structures of data without any preexisting labels. This technique is valuable for anomaly detection in network traffic, which plays a very important role in identifying security threats, network failures, or unexpected disruptions. The algorithms of unsupervised learning divide network traffic into clusters of data streams that are alike and then find out the outliers that differ from the established patterns. These anomalies help identify and locate potential problems that may hinder the performance of the network or resource distribution. Also, unsupervised learning can be performed for efficient resource allocation. It would find a pattern of resource utilization through multiple nodes in a fog network. For instance, one of the clustering algorithms would envisage which nodes most of the time 'talk' to each other, or their profiles of using resources are identical or rather similar; therefore, it makes more sense to enable these pools of resources shared more efficiently instead of a static model [35][36].

Hence, unsupervised and supervised learning put up a necessary toolkit to efficiently operate and manage both network traffic and network resources within fog networks. Using predictive insights and pattern recognition, the management would now be further intelligent, adaptive, and efficient in increasingly complex, dynamic fog computing environments regarding performance and reliability at the edge of the network where services are being delivered.

D. Case Studies and Practical Implementations

1. Case Study Analysis

Different case studies prove the effectiveness of deep learning in resource management for fog networks. For instance, in a study using distributed deep reinforcement learning, the optimization of resource management and task offloading in vehicular fog computing achieved impressive improvements in the performance of the system. This technique improved both throughput and latency management, considerably outperforming traditional methods [46]. Another example is a DRL-based scheme in F-RANs that focused on a latency minimization problem through intelligently making decisions on which tasks should be processed locally or offloaded to either fog nodes or the cloud. Results from this scheme show reduced latency and improvement in throughput within IoT scenarios; hence, it is proving that the performance of the DRL approaches is superior compared to conventional strategies [52].

2. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis developed for deep learning approaches is focused on resource management strategies in fog networks, described on Table 1, where 20 analyzed studies show heterogeneous approaches along with results. Amongst the analyzed studies, all are based on some specific deep learning techniques in analyzing a different perspective of fog computing resource management challenges. In the related literature, one remarkable contribution is using Q-learning, SARSA, Expected SARSA, and Monte Carlo methods to present a resource allocation framework for Fog RAN in the context of IoT. Optimizing low-latency communication shows excellent performance compared to existing methods of network slicing; nonetheless, it mainly targets latency but not computational limitations and scalability.

In contrast, a study based on a semi-definite programming-based algorithm in conjunction with the Kuhn-Munkres algorithm and a two-step interactive optimal algorithm focuses on user-centric optimization. This work promotes user experience by considering users' association and resource allocation effectively, showing improved performance in various simulations with notable improvements in system performance [40]. The other comparable view is related to making use of sophisticated reinforcement learning techniques. As such, the work adopting advanced methods of Distributed Deep Reinforcement Learning for vehicular fog computing proposes an incentive mechanism based on a contract between resource management and task offloading to further enhance resources and tasks. Indeed, it has presented improvement in both resource management and task offloading issues in simulated vehicular environments.

Meanwhile, another DQN-based approach is also proposed to minimize latency in F-RANs by the optimization of mode selection and resource allocation, which minimizes latency and enhances throughput effectively [52].

These case studies prove a very important thing: in fog computing resource management, choosing the proper deep learning strategies is required. Scalability, applicability to real-time processes, and the degree of required computation time differ across various objectives for which methods are put into practice to produce enhanced performances. All of them do not fit perfectly into the environment and objective of a scenario; thus, no general deep learning model exists for all scenarios. Such diversification imposes the requirement of application-specific deep learning in fog computing environments by taking unique characteristics and demands of every environment into consideration.

Table 1: Comparison of recent papers

Ref	Used Algorithms	Contribution	Limitation	Results
[37]	Multi-objective DRL, DQN, MOEA/D, NSGA-II algorithms.	Developed a scheduling algorithm using DRL optimizing node load, distance, task priority.	Trade-offs among objectives may lead to suboptimal selections.	Improved task times and delays; metrics include 2.02 ms, 10 ms, etc.
[38]	Blockchain with Hyperledger Fabric, VCG auction	Trustful resource management in transportation systems.	Complexity affects scalability and deployment.	Improved service trust and stability in simulations.
[39]	QoS-aware utility function, AHP, Matching algorithms	Enhanced resource allocation efficiency in fog networks.	Not scalable in large-scale environments.	Improved resource allocation utility in simulations.
[40]	Semi-definite programming, Kuhn-Munkres algorithm	User-centric optimization in fog computing networks.	Not applicable to dynamic network conditions.	Significant improvements in user-centric utility.
[41]	Task scheduling and resource management algorithms	DEER strategy for energy-efficient allocation.	Not scalable in dynamic environments.	Reduced energy and computational costs.

[42]	Subgradient projection, Bi-objective optimization	Spectrum and computing allocation in drone communications.	Complexity limits practical implementations.	Reduced service latency and energy consumption.
[43]	Reinforcement Learning techniques	Review of RL in fog computing resource management.	Complexity limits practical deployment.	Synthesized findings on RL effectiveness.
[44]	Bayesian classifier, Crayfish Optimization Algorithm	Two-stage resource allocation framework for IoT.	Scalability challenges in complex networks.	Improved performance with reduced latency.
[45]	Lagrangian Algorithm, Two-Step Optimization	Resource allocation strategy for vehicular fog computing.	Specific assumptions limit real-world applicability.	Optimized utility based on service type.
[46]	Distributed Deep Reinforcement Learning, Task Offloading	Incentive mechanism for vehicular fog computing.	High computational demands limit deployment.	Improved task offloading and resource allocation.
[47]	Improved Genetic Algorithm (IGA)	Manages heterogeneous resources in IoT using NOMA.	Computationally intensive, less effective in dynamic environments.	Enhanced system performance in simulations.
[48]	Genetic Convex Optimization Algorithm (GCOA)	Joint resource allocation for F-RANs.	Complexity and computational demands limit real-time use.	Improved communication rates and reduced delays.
[49]	Lyapunov Drift Optimization, Virtual Queuing Model	Manages resource allocation for real-time tasks.	Assumptions limit effectiveness in variable environments.	Improved task processing throughput and completion rates.
[50]	Modified Genetic Algorithm (GA), Flower Pollination Algorithm	Resource provisioning model for smart healthcare systems.	Assumes stable mobility patterns.	Reduced energy consumption and improved efficiency.
[51]	Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB), Random Forest (RF)	Enhances security in smart contracts for fog computing.	Limited by controlled dataset and computational complexity.	High attack detection accuracy.
[52]	Deep Q-Network (DQN)	Minimizes latency in F-RANs through DRL.	Computational demands limit applicability in changing networks.	Reduced latency and improved throughput.
[53]	Q-learning	Manages computational resources in F-RAN architectures.	Model's assumptions may not hold in real-world.	Reduced latency and improved network demands handling.
[54]	Quadratically Constrained Quadratic Programming (QCQP), Heuristic Algorithms	Optimizes multi-task delays in fog computing.	Assumptions and heuristics may limit precision.	Met task deadlines effectively in simulations.

[55]	Improved NSGA-II	Manages music and dance resources in fog computing.	Complexity and specific capabilities limit implementation.	Enhanced service delay and system stability.
[56]	Revised Fitness-based Binary Battle Royale Optimizer, Deep Reinforcement Learning	Manages fog resources in VANETs dynamically.	Scalability issues due to computational demands.	Enhanced service satisfaction and reduced latency.

3. Lessons Learned and Best Practices

Various works reviewed in these case studies go on to propose a couple of best practices that can also be considered for deep learning diffusion over the fog network. The adaptiveness of the deep learning models themselves to dynamic network environments provides, therefore, a big plus; hence, strategies toward a more resilient and responsive management of resources will be followed. These benefits, however, depend on whether sufficient training data is available and the computational power to support learning processes is provided, which was a point of challenges in various studies referenced herein [46][51][52]. Therefore, for the deep learning models, the complexity has to be balanced with the operational demands so that there is optimized performance in the fog computing environment. Moreover, by combining deep learning with several technologies, such as blockchain, it has been confirmed to increase security and consequently increase trust in resource management systems. It is reflected when viewed in fog enabled ITS [37], and any similar complex system deployment shall be carried out in upcoming deployments.

E. Challenges and Limitations

While the use of deep learning and other advanced algorithms in fog networks is very promising, it also opens the door to a plethora of challenges and limitations which question scalability, performance, and practical deployment. Analyzing the works in Table 1, some issues have been identified as recurring with several approaches:

1. Computational Complexity and Scalability: Most works reviewed herein acknowledge that the proposed algorithms are computationally intensive and complex; therefore, this seriously challenges their scalability and real-time performance. Indeed, some complicated reinforcement learning methods and algorithms, including the Kuhn-Munkres approach, semi-definite programming, etc., cannot be applied to highly dynamic or unstable network conditions without significant modification [39][47]. Meanwhile, the advanced Bayesian classifier suffers from scalability problems in greater or even more complex network environments, as well as the Crayfish Optimization Algorithm.
2. Regarding Practical Deployment: The embodiment of mechanisms like blockchain and elaborative auction mechanisms, together with their benefits to assurance in security and reliability issues, also introduces other layers that have inherent complexity and overhead. These elements might impact eventual practical deployment and scalability studies out of the lab into an operational

environment, as noted in the integration with blockchain using Hyperledger Fabric in intelligent transportation systems.

3. Dependence on Stable Network Conditions: Most of the approaches depend upon relatively stable and predictable network conditions, which may usually not be the case while considering real-world fog computing. For instance, the different methods concerning complex genetic algorithms and convex optimization techniques will be bound by their demands over high computational resources and, at the same time, depend on the stability in the network, which cannot be guaranteed under varying or unpredictable network conditions [46][47].
4. Adaptation to Rapidly Changing Conditions: Most especially, the efficiency of several models relies on their deep reinforcement learning to adapt against changing network dynamics. For now, one of the primary challenges is the delay needed to retrain models while coping with such dynamics-those requiring huge computational resources while training may not be straightforwardly applicable in rapidly changing environments [51-68].
5. Generalizability and Model Assumptions: Most of the algorithms depend on specific modeling assumptions or controlled datasets, which may not represent all real-world scenarios. This may affect the generalizability of the results and the effectiveness of solutions deployed, as was discussed in security applications of machine learning models for attack detection in fog computing environments [50].

A proper addressing of these challenges needs a balanced approach, considering computational and operational demands against the benefits of advanced algorithms that could be deployed within fog computing environments. Further research is needed to develop algorithms that are more efficient for less strict conditions and also refine the existing models to improve their adaptability and scalability in diverse real-world applications.

F. Future Directions and Emerging Trends

1. Deep Learning Models in Fog Computing -The Innovation

Fog computing keeps evolving, and so does the potential for deep learning models to further improve resource management within such networks. Currently, a trend is present that points out the fact that the emerging models should not only be more efficient but also self-adaptive to the changing dynamic conditions of fog environments. Techniques of high attention are federated learning that enables decentralized machine learning. This technique basically enables collaborative learning of a shared prediction model by several edge devices while keeping all the training data on the device for privacy, hence reducing bandwidth. Besides, the use of lightweight neural networks that require less computational power for training and inference holds especial promise for deployment on resource-constrained fog devices. The reason behind this is that these models can perform complex computations locally, reducing latency, hence making them perfect for real-time applications in scenarios of fog computing.

2. Integration with Other Advanced Technologies

Integration of deep learning with other advanced technologies like blockchain, 5G, and IoT opens immense opportunities to enhance the capability of fog computing. Blockchain will ensure a secure and transparent environment for handling huge volumes of data processed in fog networks, building trust and security in decentralized operations. With deep learning models having higher speeds and lower latency combined with 5G technology, they can work much more effectively and thus allow quicker decision-making and better data throughput. This is the case when considering IoT applications with numerous devices that need real-time processing and analytics. Such technologies will integrate fog computing architectures to become more robust, scalable, and efficient, while fully supporting advanced needs created within modern digital ecosystems.

3. Policy and Standardization Needs

Further, the policy and overall standardization will become mandatory due to increased deep learning and fog computing technologies for effective deployment. Standardization may resolve interoperability issues, whether between devices or even on a network, as the devices in one system easily interfere with the others proficiently. Moreover, data privacy, security, and ethical use of AI are some of the policies very vital in building trust and making the deployment of such technologies compliant with legal and ethical standards. Setting standards and regulatory frameworks can also contribute to accelerating the adoption of innovations in fog computing since it creates a level ground for developers and industries to operate within. Ultimately, they would ensure better integration into new technologies so that any barriers to the required performance level under thresholds of security standards are conducive through innovation and trust among user environments and stakeholders.

G. Conclusion

This review has dwelled on the intricacies and potential of fog computing, showing its critical role in modern IT architectures, especially in the wake of the proliferation of IoT devices. Because fog computing is decentralized and can process data near the source, it greatly enhances performance, reducing latency and therefore improving response times. The review also focused on how resource management in fog networks must be dynamic, based on the need to optimize these resources adaptively in real time to cope with many diverse and unpredicted demands from the environment. Meanwhile, deep learning, when applied to this context, has shown considerable promise, especially when it enhances resource management based on predictive analytics and by automatically making changes to network settings.

These background discussions give a bird's-eye view of the evolution of fog computing and how it has emerged as a strategic response to overcome certain limitations of traditional cloud computing. Advances in deep learning techniques for fog networks, such as CNN, RNN, GAN, and reinforcement learning, underline the trend toward sophisticated, automated, and efficient data processing and resource management at the edge of the network.

The integration of fog computing with such advanced technologies, like blockchain, 5G, and IoT, promises a future wherein these convergences could realize more robust, scalable, and efficient architectures of computing. However, the deployment of these technologies also brings challenges, particularly regarding scalability, computational demands, and adaptation to rapidly changing conditions.

Therefore, new research work in the next step should pay more effort to developing more efficient algorithms that maybe work under a looser condition with better adaptability scalability for practical requirements.

Moreover, there is a dire need for the creation of policies and standards that could eventually lead to smoother integration and, subsequently, wider adoptions of fog computing technologies. Standards would solve interoperability-related issues, while robust policy mechanisms would ensure that deployments resulted in strict adherence to set data privacy, security, and ethical standards. Eventually, with fog computing continuing to evolve, it will also be even more central in the management of data-intense demands for next-generation digital networks and hence a prime area for continued research and technological innovation.

H. References

[1] S. K. Srichandan, S. K. Majhi, S. Jena, K. Mishra, and R. Bhat, "A Secure and Distributed Placement for Quality of Service-Aware IoT Requests in Fog-Cloud of Things: A Novel Joint Algorithmic Approach," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 56730-56747, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3390723.

[2] H. Tran-Dang and D.-S. Kim, "A Survey on Matching Theory for Distributed Computation Offloading in IoT-Fog-Cloud Systems: Perspectives and Open Issues," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 118353-118365, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3219427.

[3] A. Mahapatra, S. K. Majhi, K. Mishra, R. Pradhan, D. C. Rao, and S. K. Panda, "An Energy-Aware Task Offloading and Load Balancing for Latency-Sensitive IoT Applications in the Fog-Cloud Continuum," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 14334-14347, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3357122.

[4] H. Tran-Dang, K.-H. Kwon, and D.-S. Kim, "Bandit Learning-Based Distributed Computation in Fog Computing Networks: A Survey," IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 104763-104774, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3314889.

[5] A. Alwabel and C. K. Swain, "Deadline and Energy-Aware Application Module Placement in Fog-Cloud Systems," IEEE Access, vol. 12, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3350171.

[6] S. M. Hashemi, A. Sahafi, A. M. Rahmani, and M. Bohlooli, "GWO-SA: Gray Wolf Optimization Algorithm for Service Activation Management in Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 107846-107861, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3212439.

[7] X. Huang, R. Yu, J. Kang, and Y. Zhang, "Distributed Reputation Management for Secure and Efficient Vehicular Edge Computing and Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 5, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2769878.

[8] N. D. Nguyen, L.-A. Phan, D.-H. Park, S. Kim, and T. Kim, "ElasticFog: Elastic Resource Provisioning in Container-Based Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3029583.

[9] L. Zhang and J. Li, "Enabling Robust and Privacy-Preserving Resource Allocation in Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 6, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2868920.

[10] W. Chongdarakul and N. Aunsri, "Heuristic Scheduling Algorithm for Workflow Applications in Cloud-Fog Computing Based on Realistic Client

Port Communication," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 134453-134484, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3462518.
[11] C. Núñez-Gómez, B. Caminero, and C. Carrión, "HIDRA: A Distributed Blockchain-Based Architecture for Fog/Edge Computing Environments," IEEE Access, vol. 9, May 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3082197.
[12] C. S. M. Babou, D. Fall, S. Kashihara, Y. Taenaka, M. H. Bhuyan, I. Niang, and Y. Kadobayashi, "Hierarchical Load Balancing and Clustering Technique for Home Edge Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3007944.
[13] R. K. Naha, S. Garg, D. Georgakopoulos, P. P. Jayaraman, L. Gao, Y. Xiang, and R. Ranjan, "Fog Computing: Survey of Trends, Architectures, Requirements, and Research Directions," IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 47980-48023, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2866491.
[14] M. Diamanti, P. Charatsaris, E. E. Tsiropoulou, and S. Papavassiliou, "Incentive Mechanism and Resource Allocation for Edge-Fog Networks Driven by Multi-Dimensional Contract and Game Theories," IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society, vol. 3, pp. 435-445, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1109/OJCOMS.2022.3154536.
[15] D. Wang, Z. Liu, X. Wang, and Y. Lan, "Mobility-Aware Task Offloading and Migration Schemes in Fog Computing Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 7, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2908263.
[16] S.-E. Chafi, Y. Balboul, M. Fattah, S. Mazer, and M. El Bekkali, "Novel PSO-Based Algorithm for Workflow Time and Energy Optimization in a Heterogeneous Fog Computing Environment," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 41517-41530, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3377236.
[17] D. Alsadie, "Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Securing Fog Computing Environments: Trends, Challenges, and Future Directions," IEEE Access, vol. 12, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3463791.
[18] Y. Jiang, H. Ge, C. Wan, B. Fan, and J. Yan, "Pricing-based edge caching resource allocation in fog radio access networks," Intelligent and Converged Networks, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 221-233, 2020, doi: 10.23919/ICN.2020.0007.
[19] N. Ahmed and N.-E. Rikli, "QoS-Based Data Aggregation and Resource Allocation Algorithm for Machine Type Communication Devices in Next-Generation Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 9, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3107624.
[20] F. Hosseinpour, A. Naebi, S. Virtanen, T. Pahikkala, H. Tenhunen, and J. Plosila, "A Resource Management Model for Distributed Multi-Task Applications in Fog Computing Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 152792-152802, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3127355.
[21] S.-C. Hung, H. Hsu, S.-Y. Lien, and K.-C. Chen, "Architecture Harmonization Between Cloud Radio Access Networks and Fog Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 3019-3031, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2509638.
[22] X. Li, Y. Dang, M. Aazam, X. Peng, T. Chen, and C. Chen, "Energy-Efficient Computation Offloading in Vehicular Edge Cloud Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2975310.
[23] Z. Najafabadi Samani, N. Mehran, D. Kimovski, S. Benedict, N. Saurabh, and R. Prodan, "Incremental Multilayer Resource Partitioning for Application

Placement in Dynamic Fog," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1877-1890, June 2023.
[24] S. F. Abedin, A. K. Bairagi, M. S. Munir, N. H. Tran, and C. S. Hong, "Fog Load Balancing for Massive Machine Type Communications: A Game and Transport Theoretic Approach," IEEE Access, vol. 7, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2888869.
[25] E. Baccarelli, P. G. Vinueza Naranjo, M. Scarpiniti, M. Shojafar, and J. H. Abawajy, "Fog of Everything: Energy-Efficient Networked Computing Architectures, Research Challenges, and a Case Study," IEEE Access, vol. 5, May 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2702013.
[26] K. Mishra, G. N. V. Rajareddy, U. Ghugar, G. S. Chhabra, and A. H. Gandomi, "A Collaborative Computation and Offloading for Compute-Intensive and Latency-Sensitive Dependency-Aware Tasks in Dew-Enabled Vehicular Fog Computing: A Federated Deep Q-Learning Approach," IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 4600-4623, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TNSM.2023.3282795.
[27] S. Liao, J. Li, J. Wu, W. Yang, and Z. Guan, "Fog-Enabled Vehicle as a Service for Computing Geographical Migration in Smart Cities," IEEE Access, vol. 7, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2890298.
[28] O. Nazih, N. Benamar, H. Lamaazi, and H. Chaoui, "Toward Secure and Trustworthy Vehicular Fog Computing: A Survey," IEEE Access, vol. 12, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3371488.
[29] A. Bandopadhyay, S. Swain, R. Singh, P. Sarkar, S. Bhattacharyya, and L. Mrsic, "Game-Theoretic Resource Allocation and Dynamic Pricing Mechanism in Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 51704-51716, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3384334.
[30] J. U. Arshed and M. Ahmed, "RACE: Resource Aware Cost-Efficient Scheduler for Cloud Fog Environment," IEEE Access, vol. 9, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068817.
[31] N. Sharghivand, F. Derakhshan, and N. Siasi, "A Comprehensive Survey on Auction Mechanism Design for Cloud/Edge Resource Management and Pricing," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 126502-126519, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3110914.
[32] H. Rafique, M. A. Shah, S. ul Islam, T. Maqsood, S. Khan, and C. Maple, "A Novel Bio-Inspired Hybrid Algorithm (NBIHA) for Efficient Resource Management in Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 7, June 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2924958.
[33] T. Qayyum, Z. Trabelsi, A. W. Malik, and K. Hayawi, "Multi-Level Resource Sharing Framework Using Collaborative Fog Environment for Smart Cities," IEEE Access, vol. 9, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3054420.
[34] U. M. Malik, M. A. Javed, J. Frnda, and J. Nedoma, "SMRETO: Stable Matching for Reliable and Efficient Task Offloading in Fog-Enabled IoT Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 10, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3215555.
[35] Y. Lan, X. Wang, D. Wang, Z. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "Task Caching, Offloading, and Resource Allocation in D2D-Aided Fog Computing Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 7, July 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2929075.

[36] Ö. U. Akgül, W. Mao, B. Cho, and Y. Xiao, "VFogSim: A Data-Driven Platform for Simulating Vehicular Fog Computing Environment," IEEE Systems Journal, vol. 17, no. 3, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2023.3286329.

[37] M. A. Ibrahim and S. Askar, "An Intelligent Scheduling Strategy in Fog Computing System Based on Multi-Objective Deep Reinforcement Learning Algorithm," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 1-16, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3337034.

[38] Y. Lee, S. Jeong, A. Masood, L. Park, N.-N. Dao, and S. Cho, "Trustful Resource Management for Service Allocation in Fog-Enabled Intelligent Transportation Systems," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, pp. 147313-147322, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3015550.

[39] D. H. Hussein and S. Askar, "Federated Learning Enabled SDN for Routing Emergency Safety Messages (ESMs) in IoV Under 5G Environment," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 141723-141739, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3343613.

[40] D. H. Abdulazeez and S. K. Askar, "A Novel Offloading Mechanism Leveraging Fuzzy Logic and Deep Reinforcement Learning to Improve IoT Application Performance in a Three-Layer Architecture Within the Fog-Cloud Environment," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 39936-39952, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3376670.

[41] S. F. Abedin et al., "Resource Allocation for Ultra-Reliable and Enhanced Mobile Broadband IoT Applications in Fog Network," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 67, no. 1, January 2019, pp. 489-511, doi: 10.1109/TCOMM.2018.2870888.

[42] S. Tong, Y. Liu, M. Cheriet, M. Kadoch, and B. Shen, "UCAA: User-Centric User Association and Resource Allocation in Fog Computing Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, pp. 10671-10690, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2965218.

[43] D. H. Abdulazeez and S. K. Askar, "Offloading Mechanisms Based on Reinforcement Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms in the Fog Computing Environment," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 12555-12586, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3241881.

[44] Mina Othman, Shavan Askar, Daban Ali, Media Ibrahim, Nihad Abdullah. Deep Learning Based Security Schemes for IoT Applications: A Review. The Indonesian Journal of Computer Science, vol 13, No. 2, 2024.

[45] Media Ibrahim, Shavan Askar, Mohammad Saleem, Daban Ali, Nihad Abdullah. Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis Article Review. The Indonesian Journal of Computer Science, vol 13, No. 2, 2024.

[46] Harikumar Pallathadka, Shavan Askar, Ankur Kulshreshta, M. K. Sharma, Sabir Widatalla, & Mudae, I. . (2024). Economic and Environmental Energy Scheduling of Smart Hybrid Micro Grid Based on Demand Response. International Journal of Integrated Engineering, 16(9), 351-365.

[47] A. U. Rehman et al., "Dynamic Energy Efficient Resource Allocation Strategy for Load Balancing in Fog Environment," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3035181.

[48] F. Shams, V. Lottici, and F. Giannetti, "Joint Spectrum and Computing Resource Allocation in Fog-Assisted Drone Communications for Ambiental Services," IEEE Access, vol. 11, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3325704.

[49] H. Tran-Dang, S. Bhardwaj, T. Rahim, A. Musaddiq, and D.-S. Kim, "Reinforcement Learning based Resource Management for Fog Computing Environment: Literature Review, Challenges, and Open Issues," in Journal of Communications and Networks, vol. 24, no. 1, February 2022, pp. 83-93, doi: 10.23919/JCN.2021.000041.
[50] I. Z. Yakubu and M. Murali, "An Efficient IoT-Fog-Cloud Resource Allocation Framework Based on Two-Stage Approach," IEEE Access, vol. 12, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3405581.
[51] F. Lin, Y. Zhou, G. Pau, M. Collotta, "Optimization-Oriented Resource Allocation Management for Vehicular Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 6, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2879988.
[52] J. Zhao, M. Kong, Q. Li, and X. Sun, "Contract-Based Computing Resource Management via Deep Reinforcement Learning in Vehicular Fog Computing," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2963051.
[53] B. H. Husain and S. Askar, "Smart Resource Scheduling Model in Fog Computing," *2022 8th International Engineering Conference on Sustainable Technology and Development (IEC)*, Erbil, Iraq, 2022, pp. 96-101, doi: 10.1109/IEC54822.2022.9807469.
[54] Zhang, L., Askar, S., Alkhayyat, A., Samavatian, M., & Samavatian, V. (2024). Machine learning-driven detection of anomalies in manufactured parts from resonance frequency signatures. Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/10589759.2024.2431143
[55] Yang, Y., Patil, N., Askar, S. et al. Machine learning-guided study of residual stress, distortion, and peak temperature in stainless steel laser welding. Appl. Phys. A 131, 44 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-024-08145-8
[56] S. Askar, G. Zervas, D. K. Hunter and D. Simeonidou, "Classified cloning for QoS provisioning in OBS networks," 36th European Conference and Exhibition on Optical Communication, Turin, Italy, 2010, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/ECOC.2010.5621339.
[57] X. Li, Y. Liu, H. Ji, H. Zhang, V. C. M. Leung, "Optimizing Resources Allocation for Fog Computing-Based Internet of Things Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 7, 2019, pp. 64907-64919, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2917557
[58] Y. Ma, H. Wang, J. Xiong, J. Diao, and D. Ma, "Joint Allocation on Communication and Computing Resources for Fog Radio Access Networks," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000832.
[59] L. Li, Q. Guan, L. Jin, and M. Guo, "Resource Allocation and Task Offloading for Heterogeneous Real-Time Tasks With Uncertain Duration Time in a Fog Queueing System," IEEE Access, vol. 7, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2891130
[60] S. M. Rajagopal, M. Supriya, and R. Buyya, "Resource Provisioning Using Meta-Heuristic Methods for IoT Microservices with Mobility Management," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3281348
[61] T. Ehsan, M. Usman Sana, M. Usman Ali, et al., "Securing Smart Contracts in Fog Computing: Machine Learning-Based Attack Detection for Registration and Resource Access Granting," IEEE Access, vol. 12, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3378736

[62] G. M. Shafiqur Rahman, Tian Dang, and Manzoor Ahmed, "Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based Computation Offloading and Resource Allocation for Low-Latency Fog Radio Access Networks," in Intelligent and Converged Networks, vol. 1, no. 3, 2020, pp. 243-257, doi: 10.23919/ICN.2020.0020
[63] N. N. Khumalo, O. O. Oyerinde, and L. Mfupe, "Reinforcement Learning-Based Resource Management Model for Fog Radio Access Network Architectures in 5G," IEEE Access, vol. 9, 2021, pp. 12706-12716, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3051695.
[64] M. Mukherjee, S. Kumar, Q. Zhang, et al., "Task Data Offloading and Resource Allocation in Fog Computing With Multi-Task Delay Guarantee," IEEE Access, vol. 7, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2941741.
[65] F. E. F. Samann, S. Y. Ameen and S. Askar, "Fog Computing in 5G Mobile Networks: A Review," 2022 4th International Conference on Advanced Science and Engineering (ICOASE), Zakho, Iraq, 2022, pp. 142-147, doi: 10.1109/ICOASE56293.2022.10075567.
[66] Omer, S.M., Ghafoor, K.Z. & Askar, S.K. Lightweight improved yolov5 model for cucumber leaf disease and pest detection based on deep learning. SIViP 18, 1329–1342 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11760-023-02865-9.
[67] R. Zhang, "The Application of Fog Computing and Internet of Things Technology in Music Resource Management Model," IEEE Access, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2963199.
[68] Y. Cheng, A. Vijayaraj, K. S. Pokkuluri, T. Salehnia, A. Montazerolghaem, R. Rateb, "Vehicular Fog Resource Allocation Approach for VANETs Based on Deep Adaptive Reinforcement Learning Combined with Heuristic Information," IEEE Access, vol. 12, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3455168.